# Investigating Fibroblast Activation Protein alpha (FAPα) as a Therapeutic Target for Delivery of pre|CISION® **Cancer Medicines: Expression, Spatial Localization and Functional Insights**

Ruairidh Edwards<sup>1</sup>, Curtis Rink<sup>1</sup>, Giulia Tagliavini<sup>2</sup>, Christopher Mills<sup>2</sup>, David Jones<sup>1</sup>, Michelle Morrow<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Avacta Life Sciences, London, Scale Space, White City Imperial College Campus, 58 Wood Lane, London, W12 7RZ, UK. <sup>2</sup>Concept Life Sciences, Edinburgh

# pre|CISION<sup>®</sup> Medicines Target FAP

**Fibroblast activation protein-α (FAP)** is a membrane-bound extracellular post-proline protease that is expressed on cancer associated fibroblasts (CAFs). FAP is upregulated in over 90% of solid tumors and largely absent from healthy tissue—making it a compelling oncology target

Avacta is the only company to have developed a dipeptide moiety linker (pre|CISION<sup>®</sup>) that is enzymatically-cleaved specifically by FAP, enabling targeted cytotoxic delivery via bystander cell killing

Avacta's lead asset AVA6000 (FAP-Dox) has demonstrated tumor-localized delivery of doxorubicin in humans, validating FAP as a target and pre|CISION<sup>®</sup> as a delivery strategy

The next generation of pre|CISION<sup>®</sup>, AVA6103 (FAP-EXd), shows exceptional preclinical data and is currently in IND-enabling studies

See other Avacta posters at this meeting: Comparative pharmacokinetics of pre|CISION peptide drug conjugates (Abstract #CT15) Tuesday, April 29, 2025, 9:00 a.m.– 12:00 p.m)

The novel peptide drug conjugate AVA6103 is a pre|CISION<sup>®</sup> medicine which targets exatecan to the **TME following FAP cleavage** (Abstract #3139) Monday, April 28, 2025, 2:00 – 5:00 p.m.

### **FIGURE 1. Spatial FAP expression**

FAP negative tumor cell nests (aqua) are near Cancer Associated Fibroblasts (CAFs) which are positive for FAP (green). Tumor vasculature is close to FAP+ CAFs in the stroma (red)

FAP is Predominantly Expressed on Fibroblasts in Epithelial Tumors

Single-cell RNA-seq from adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) shows that FAP is mainly expressed on CAFs, with lower levels in endothelial and vascular smooth muscle cells

Avacta's pre|CISION<sup>®</sup> platform exploits this selectivity to activate therapeutic payloads directly in the tumor

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was

performed on tumor microarrays of

>1000 patient tumor cores from

11 cancer types

FIGURE 2. FAP expression is predominantly on fibroblasts tSNE plots of single-cell RNA-sequencing data from adenoid cystic carcinoma (Zhou et al., 2023) and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (Chen et al., 2023) samples. Cell type clustering and FAP expression levels (log normalized) across the annotated cell populations. Color scale represents FAP expression

> FAP expression was detected in all indications, primarily in the stroma, except for sarcoma and ovarian cancer which also showed tumor cell expression core

> > SCLC

Digital pathology was used to quantify FAP + area as a percentage of each entire

across a range of solid tumors Representative images and corresponding quantification of FAP+ area (%) across multiple solid tumor types. Digital image analysis was performed on tumor microarrays using automated software to determine mean percentage FAP positive area (Whole core area) per indication. Data are presented as mean, and error bars represent SEM

**FIGURE 3. FAP protein expression** 

- To assess the relationship between mRNA and protein levels, we compared FAP protein IHC data and mRNA expression from Tempus Al's LENS database
- A strong correlation (Spearman R=0.71) was observed, supporting the use of Tempus AI's mRNA dataset to aid indication selection for pre|CISION<sup>®</sup> medicines



FAP IHC Shows a Range of Solid Tumors Express FAP

Figure 4. FAP protein expression correlates with FAP mRNA levels across tumor types Scatter plot showing the relationship between mean FAP mRNA expression (transcripts per million – TPM) and mean FAP positive area (%) across selected solid tumor types. Displayed is the trendline along with Spearman's correlation coefficient (p) representing the relationship between FAP mRNA and protein (ρ=0.7091 p=0.0182)











FIGURE 5. Data from Tempus AI's LENS database demonstrates FAP mRNA expression is high in a broad range of solid-tumor indication Schematic demonstrating how mRNA cutoffs were selected based on in-house IHC and published data (A). Data in the Tempus AI LENS database were analyzed for expression of FAP. Cut-points to define negative, weak and strong were the same across the entire database and were set based on known/published positive rates for IHC in 3 diseases: gastric cancer, triple negative cancer and SCLC (Mona et al., 2022. Chen et al., 2022) (B). Generally, negative correlates with 0+ stroma staining (<2.115Log<sub>2</sub>(TPM+1)), weak expression correlates with 1+ stroma staining (2.115-4.7Log<sub>2</sub>(TPM+1)), and strong expression correlates with 2-3+ stroma staining (>4.7Log<sub>2</sub>(TPM+1)). No samples were excluded from the analysis, and total N per indication ranged from n=51 to n=21801

### FAP mRNA Correlates with Stromal Content

- FAP mRNA levels were compared to ESTIMATE stromal scores which infer stromal and immune cell content based on gene expression signatures
- Strong correlations were observed across solid tumors, especially in breast and pancreatic cancers (R>0.84), which are highly desmoplastic
- This supports the use of pre|CISION<sup>®</sup> medicines to target and activate specifically within the **TME**, minimizing offtarget effects and enhancing efficacy



FIGURE 6. FAP expression correlates with stromal score across multiple TCGA cancer types Scatter plots show the correlation between ESTIMATE-derived stromal scores and FAP mRNA expression (log<sub>2</sub>(TPM+1)) in eight TCGA indications. Pearson correlation coefficients (r) and p-values indicated. Each dot represents an individual tumor sample

### FAP is Enriched at the Tumor-stroma Interface Providing Optimal Delivery of Pre|CISION<sup>®</sup> Medicines

- Multi-IF imaging was used to map the spatial distribution of FAP in epithelial tumors (colorectal, ovarian, esophageal and pancreatic)
- Digital pathology apps **defined tumor and** stromal regions based on pan-cytokeratin (panCK) staining, enabling FAP analysis within the stroma
- The stroma was divided into four 50µm concentric layers from the tumor cell edge: Layer 1: CAFs closest to tumor cells Layer 4: CAFs farthest from tumor cells



🕨 FAP (CAFs) 🔵 PanCK (cancer cells)



Cance Apply tissue overla Con BE

FAP (CAFs) PanCK (cancer cells)

• FAP expression was quantified across four stromal layers based on area and plotted as FAP+ area (%) • This demonstrates that pre|CISION<sup>®</sup> compounds can be effectively delivered directly to the tumor



FIGURE 7. Spatial quantification of FAP expression across stromal layers in different tumor types Tissue microarray cores are processed using multiplex immunofluorescence staining for pan-cytokeratin (PanCK: aqua) to identify tumor epithelial cell regions and FAP (green) to label CAFs (A). A computational algorithm segmented each core into tumor (panCK-positive) and stromal (panCK negative) compartments (B), generating a tumorstroma mask. Four concentric stromal partitions are generated at 50µm intervals (Layers 1-4), radiating outward from the tumor boundary (**C**). Bar graphs show the percentage of FAP-positive stromal area in each of the four concentric layers (Layers 1-4) progressing from closest (Layer 1) to farthest (Layer 4) from the panCK positive tumor cells. Colorectal (n=31), Ovarian (n=56), Esophageal (n=60) and Pancreatic (n=56) samples were analyzed. Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used to compare layer 1 vs. layer 4 in each tumor type. \*\*\*\*p<0.0001 (D)

# **FAP Colocalizes with Tumor Vasculature for Optimal Pre**|CISION<sup>®</sup> Drug Delivery

- Efficient drug delivery relies on accessing the tumor vasculature to reach target expressing cells
- Multi-IF analysis showed that FAP is frequently localized near blood vessels within the stroma across TNBC, NSCLC, PDAC and CRC
- This proximity may enhance distribution of pre|CISION<sup>®</sup> medicines, enabling direct delivery to the tumor via the vasculature

FIGURE 8. Multi-immunofluorescence analysis of FAP and tumor vasculature shows an association between the two

3X tumor samples from TNBC, NSCLC, PDAC and CRC were analyzed for PanCK (Tumor cells – aqua), FAP (CAFs – green) and CD31 (Vasculature – red). Representative images were captured at 4X and 10X magnification to demonstrate association between FAP and CD31





# THERAPEUTICS Abstract #2699

# Tempus AI's LENS Database Identifies a FAP-SLFN11 Correlation



- Learnings from the AVA6000 program highlight the importance of payload sensitivity for pre|CISION medicines
- Using Tempus AI's LENS database. we evaluated co-expression of FAP and SLFN11, a gene linked to replication stress and sensitivity to topoisomerase inhibitors
- SLFN11 has been shown to confer sensitivity to DNAdamage inducing agents such as **Topoisomerase** inhibitors (Zhang et al., 2021)
- We observed a positive correlation between FAP and SLFN11 expression across multiple tumor types, supporting the use of AVA6103 (FAP-Exd)

FIGURE 9. FAP expression correlates with SLFN11 expression across multiple tumor types Scatter plots show the relationship between FAP and SLFN11 mRNA expression (log<sub>2</sub>(TPM+1)) in small cell lung, pancreatic, cervical and gastric cancers. Grey indicates FAP negative patients, navy indicates FAP positive, low SLFN11 expressing patients and teal indicates FAP positive high SLFN11 patients. Linear regression analysis with correlation coefficients (R) and p-values are overlaid

# Conventional Therapy Regimens Do Not Impact FAP Expression





Post-treatment

Sample Collection Time Relative to Treatment

FIGURE 10. Conventional therapy regimens do not significantly impact FAP expression in breast cancer and sarcoma Violin plots show FAP mRNA expression levels (log<sub>2</sub>(TPM+1)) across three biopsy groups – treatment naïve, flanking pre-treatment and flanking post-treatment in breast cancer (A and C) and sarcoma (B). Treatment naïve samples were collected before any therapy, while flanking pre-treatment and post-treatment samples were collected within a year before or after doxorubicin or topoisomerase inhibitor treatment respectively and with no intervening therapies. A & B the treatment was doxorubicin, the treatment in C was topoisomerase inhibitors (irinotecan, topotecan, trastuzumab-deruxtecan and Sacituzumab govitecan)

- To assess whether pre[CISION<sup>®</sup> medicines can be used in pretreated patients, we analyzed FAP mRNA expression in treatment-naïve, pre-treatment, and post-treatment tumor biopsies from patients treated with doxorubicin or **Topo I** inhibitors
- Across indications, no significant difference in FAP expression was observed between treatment groups
- These findings support the use of pre|CISION<sup>®</sup> medicines as second- or third-line therapy in pretreated patients

# CONCLUSIONS

- FAP is overexpressed across a wide range of solid tumors, with strong correlation between mRNA and IHC data. FAP is spatially enriched at the tumor stroma interface demonstrating an effective mechanism to deliver pre|CISION<sup>®</sup> drugs to the tumor
- FAP+ CAFs are closely associated with tumor vasculature, supporting efficient intratumoral delivery of pre|CISION<sup>®</sup> medicines from the bloodstream to the tumor microenvironment
- In a subset of human tumors, FAP co-expresses with SLFN11, a gene linked to DNA-damaging payload sensitivity, helping identify tumors most likely to respond to pre CISION<sup>®</sup>-enabled DNA damaging agents
- FAP expression remains consistent after receiving standard chemotherapies doxorubicin and topoisomerase I inhibitors, supporting the use of these pre CISION<sup>®</sup>-enabled DNA damaging agents across all lines of therapy from adjuvant to deep metastatic treatment
- Together, these findings from a biomarker-driven approach reinforce the potential of Avacta's pre|CISION<sup>®</sup> platform to deliver potent therapies across multiple solid tumor indications with broad clinical utility

### References

PMC8576031

2948. doi.org/10.1007/s00259-022-05754-4

Chen K, et al. Immune Profiling and Prognostic Model of Pancreatic Cancer Using Quantitative Pathology and Single-Cell RNA Sequencing. Journal of Translational Medicine. 2023:21 (1): 210. 10.1186/s12967-023-04051-4 Chen X, et al. Expression of fibroblast activation protein in lung cancer and its correlation with tumor glucose metabolism and histopathology. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. 2022;49: 2938-

Mona C. et al. Correlation of 68Ga-FAPi-46 PET Biodistribution with FAP Expression by Immunohistochemistry in Patients with Solid Cancers: Interim Analysis of a Prospective Translational Exploratory Study. The Journal of *Nuclear Medicine*. 2022:63(7):1021-1026. doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.121.262426 Zhang B, Ramkumar K, Cardnell RJ, Gay CM, Stewart CA, Wang WL, Fujimoto J, Wistuba II, Byers LA. A wake-up call for cancer DNA damage: the role of Schlafen 11 (SLFN11) across multiple cancers. Br J Cancer. 2021 Nov;125(10):1333-1340. doi: 10.1038/s41416-021-01476-w. Epub 2021 Jul 22. PMID: 34294893; PMCID:

Zhou M-J, et al. Increased Retinoic Acid Signaling Decreases Lung Metastasis in Salivary Adenoid Cystic Carcinoma by Inhibiting the Noncanonical Notch1 Pathway. Experimental & Molecular Medicine. 2023;55 (3): 597–611. doi.org/10.1038/s12276-023-00957-7

### Acknowledgements

The investigators and the team at Avacta would like to thank the patients and their families for participating in the trial. Medicines Discovery Catapult provided the scRNAseq analysis. Scientific communication support was provided by SlideSource and Random 42.



American Associatio for Cancer Researc **Annual Meeting** April 2025

#### **Topoisomerase I Inhibitor Treatment**

**C. Breast Cancer**